

The NLRB expands legal standard for “joint employer” status.

When the NLRB determines that two separate entities constitute a “joint employer,” the resulting legal consequences are as follows:

- (1) non-union employees can petition for an NLRB election against both entities that constitute a “joint employer,” and if the NLRB certifies the election results in favor of the petitioning union, both entities have a duty to recognize and bargain with the union in good faith for a collective bargaining agreement (“CBA”);
- (2) both entities that constitute a “joint employer” are necessary parties to any unfair labor practice charge proceedings initiated by either employees or by a union;
- (3) if and when a CBA is reached, both entities will be jointly considered the “employer” with potential liability for CBA violations or violations of federal labor laws enforced by the NLRB; and
- (4) if and when a CBA is reached containing a grievance and binding arbitration provision, both entities will be jointly subject to a duty to process grievances and submit grievances to binding arbitration in accordance with the applicable CBA terms.

In Browning Ferris Industries of California, Inc., dba BFI Newby Island Recyclery, 362 NLRB No. 186 (August 27, 2015), BFI contracted with Leadpoint staffing agency to assign Leadpoint employees to work inside BFI’s recycling facility; the contract between BFI and Leadpoint included the following terms:

- Temporary labor services agreement terminable at-will by either party with 30 days’ advance notice.
- Leadpoint is the sole employer of the employees it supplies.
- BFI and Leadpoint have separate supervisors

at the facility.

- Separate HR departments.
- Leadpoint recruited, interviewed, tested, selected, and hired employees assigned to work at BFI.
- BFI retained authority to “reject any personnel, and . . . discontinue the use of any personnel for any or no reason.”
- Agreed upon wage rate schedule.
- BFI established work schedule of Leadpoint employees assigned to work at BFI.

The NLRB ruled that BFI and Leadpoint were “joint employers” under the NLRA because BFI had direct or indirect control over the workers’ essential terms and conditions of employment, and BFI contractually reserved the authority to control those terms/conditions. In so ruling, the NLRB established a new “joint employer” standard for future cases; two separate entities are now “joint employers” under the NLRA when both of the following are true:

- (1) “they are both employers within the meaning of the common law,” and
- (2) they “share or codetermine those matters governing the essential terms and conditions of employment.”

The NLRB also made clear in *Browning-Ferris* that it will find “joint employer” status when either of the following is true:

- (1) two separate entities directly **or indirectly** exercise authority over workers’ terms/conditions of employment, **or**
- (2) one entity contractually reserves authority to control the terms and conditions of employment applicable to workers ostensibly employed by another entity (i.e., even if the entity does not exercise such authority).

Disclaimer: This advisory may be reproduced, in whole or in part, with the prior permission of Marshall & Melhorn, LLC and acknowledgement of its source and copyright. This publication is intended to inform clients about legal matters of current interest. It is not intended as legal advice. Readers should not act upon the information contained in this advisory without first obtaining legal counsel.

© 2015 Marshall & Melhorn, LLC. *Labor and Employment Update* is intended for general informational purposes and is not intended to provide legal, tax, or other advice for a specific situation. Our Labor & Employment group would be pleased to provide you with further information or legal assistance as you require if you contact one of us directly. An attorney-client relationship is not created or continued by sending and receiving this publication.

Will Browning-Ferris turn out to be a monstrosity overbroad definition of “joint employer” that turns federal labor law on its head to the detriment of all concerned? Only time will tell. However, the following point is clear – the traditional, commonplace arrangement between private sector employers and staffing or “temp” agencies will likely result in a “joint employer” finding by the NLRB. Under Browning-Ferris, both entities are now likely to be considered “joint employers” subject to the legal consequences explained above.

The Browning-Ferris decision has drawn the attention of lawmakers. On September 29, 2015, the U.S. House of Rep. Subcommittee on Health, Employment, Labor, and Pensions, held a legislative hearing on House Bill 3459, the Protecting Local Business Opportunity Act, which, if enacted, would exclude “indirect” or “potential” control over terms and conditions of employment as a basis for finding that two entities are a “joint employer.”

For further guidance on this decision and its implications, please contact a member of our Labor and Employment Law Practice Group.

Please contact a member of our Labor & Employment Law Practice Group at (419) 249-7100.

Justice G. Johnson, Jr.
johnson@marshall-melhorn.com
419.249.7115

Ruth Meacham
meacham@marshall-melhorn.com
419.249.7128

Michael S. Scalzo
Scalzo@marshall-melhorn.com
419.249.7129

Margaret J. Lockhart
lockhart@marshall-melhorn.com
419.249.7147

David L. O’Connell
oconnell@marshall-melhorn.com
419.249.7135

Roman Arce
arce@marshall-melhorn.com
419.249.7111

Jennifer J. Dawson
dawson@marshall-melhorn.com
419.249.7139

Meghan Anderson Roth
roth@marshall-melhorn.com
419.249.7226

Shawn A. Nelson
nelson@marshall-melhorn.com
419.249.7164

About Marshall & Melhorn, LLC

Marshall & Melhorn, LLC is a full-service law firm. In addition to our Labor & Employment group, our firm has the following specialized practice areas:

Business
NonProfit
Immigration
Tax
Healthcare Law
Construction Law
Creditor’s Rights
School Law

Intellectual Property
Litigation
Medical Malpractice Defense
Estate Planning
Real Estate
Trusts and Estates
Agricultural Law
Workers’ Compensation

For more information about our firm, please visit:
www.marshall-melhorn.com